

LONDON'S ENTRY FOR STUPIDEST STORY OF THE YEAR

just in time for Black Friday!



by Miles Mathis

First published November 30, 2019

Let me just see if I have this straight. The London Bridge stabber is Usman **Khan?** The Mayor of London is Sadiq **Khan?** And that's just a coincidence? And Sadiq Khan is from Tooting? And we aren't supposed to laugh?

After Usman Khan stabbed several people, he was controlled by a guy wielding a **narwhal tusk**, a guy with a **fire extinguisher**, and a convicted murderer named James **Ford?** Who is writing this stuff, Monty Python? Man, these MI6 guys must be bored! Ford is currently serving a life sentence which started in 2004? Isn't that just 15 years ago? And if he is serving a life sentence, what was he doing on London Bridge? We are told he was on day-release. So they release convicted murderers for the day in London, do they, so they can attend Cambridge spook conferences on London Bridge? Good to know. Should cut down on tourism a bit.



Ford allegedly murdered a girl named Amanda **Champion**. No, tell me that is not Champion, as in the Champions of the peerage, including the Baron Champion, and also including the Champions de Crespigny, descended from the Manners, Dukes of Rutland? And Ford himself couldn't be of the peerage Fords, *related to the Champions*,* could he? No, that would be entirely too pat and predictable.

Usman Khan had previously been convicted of terrorism in 2012 for planning to bomb the London Stock Exchange? Really? But he was attending this Cambridge University conference on prison rehabilitation, which just happened to be scheduled for Fishmonger's Hall on London Bridge? That's perfectly logical, right? But seriously, I think tourists should be alerted to this, don't you? Did you know they were scheduling street conferences for convicted murderers and terrorists in downtown London? That may affect my future travel plans, how about you? But, you know, it makes sense: I mean, why deport this guy Khan or jail him when you can use him again and again? They have video posted of him almost twelve years ago in 2008, wrapped in a turban, already talking about terrorism. He was seventeen then. I guess they won't be using him again after this. . . unless they change his name. Maybe they can change it to Bo Muhammad Johnson.

Khan's first named victim is Jack Merritt of Cambridge University? Could that be right? This guy?



He couldn't be using this event to fake his death and go into MI6, could he? No, he doesn't look like the sort to do that. No one from Trinity College, Cambridge, ever did that, did they? And he couldn't be a peerage ponce, too, could he? [Note that he is wearing earrings in both ears and is wearing three rings on his hands.] No, he doesn't look like Matt Damon at all, does he? I am sure he doesn't think he is Good Will Hunting. So he probably isn't related to the Merritts/Merretts of the peerage, including the Merretts of Pondhead Farm, Surrey, relatives of the Stewart Baronets and the Conyngham Earls and the Douglasses, Marquesses of Queensbury. We should also probably ignore the possible link to former Prime Minister Gordon Brown through these Douglasses—[who married these Browns](#) back in 1935. And he can't be related to the Merritts of South Africa, tying us to the Powys baronets and the Petty-FitzMaurice marquesses and the Chases and Bennetts. He just doesn't have that look about him.

Merritt is pictured several times with “his Mum”, but she is never once named? Curious.

Did I read this right: At *The Mirror*, the first sentence of the story is

The first victim of the [London Bridge terror attack](#) has been named as a Cambridge University graduate and rehab worker who was a "champion" for ex-cons.

Why is “champion” in quotes? It is repeated a second time, again in quotes, in case you missed it the first time. Is that a clue linking us back to the Champions above? No, it couldn't be, it is too obvious.

And did I hear Merritt's father David right? Did he say

My son, Jack, who was killed in this attack, would not wish his death to be used as the pretext for more draconian sentences or for detaining people unnecessarily.

He couldn't have said that, could he? Yes, David, by all means, let all the murderers out on the streets to stab innocent people to death for no apparent reason, since we wouldn't want to detain them *unnecessarily*. After all, it is normal for fathers to be unaffected by the murders of their sons, and it would be unnecessary for them to get worked up over it.

And why, pray tell, are there so many pictures posted of Jack Merritt drinking wine and champagne, seeming to toast himself? This couldn't be another clue thrown in our faces, surely? He couldn't be toasting his successful death-faking and entry into covert ops, could he?

And let me see if this is right:



Picture one is Usman Khan now. Picture two is Usman Khan about a decade ago. Is that right? Man, did he put on some eyebrowage in that time! He doubled his eyebrows in just eleven years! I got a little eyebrow growth after 50, but pretty much none in my 20s. How about you?

And let me see if I remember correctly: didn't they already pull this event two years ago in 2017, when guys armed with knives and wearing fake suicide vests stabbed people on the London Bridge? And wasn't that also during a general election? Oh yes, and I believe **eight people** were killed that time and the three bad guys were killed **eight minutes** after the first emergency call came in. The boys on both sides worked so fast, didn't they? Only one of the eight killed was British, as I remember. Strangely, one was Spanish, one was Canadian, two were Australians, and three were French. So the odds took a beating that day as well. The Muslim terrorists come to London and can't find any Englishmen to kill? They gave their souls to Allah for the price of a few clueless tourists. Oh, well, that's show business.

Oh, and would someone please tell Boris Johnson that they now make a little product called . . . hair gel.



Besides, the look has been done, Captain Kangaroo:



But of course, like Trump, Johnson wasn't chosen for his position *despite* the ridiculous look. He was chosen *because of* the ridiculous look. It is all part of the vaudeville.

* See [my paper on Henry Ford](#), where I link the Champions and Fords of the peerage.